

INVESTIGATION OF PITCHSTONE FINES AS A  
NOVEL SUPPLEMENTARY CEMENTITIOUS  
MATERIAL FOR PORTLAND CEMENT BASED  
CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS

by  
Kirk Vessalas

This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

2009

Centre for Built Infrastructure Research  
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology  
University of Technology, Sydney



## **Certificate of Authorship / Originality**

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in this thesis.

*K. Vessalas*

Kirk Vessalas

## **Acknowledgments**

The author would like to express his gratitude to Prof. Abhi S. Ray, my principal supervisor, for his guidance, advice and support throughout my candidature of this thesis. I would also like to thank Dr. Paul S. Thomas, my co-supervisor, for his suggestions, support and many helpful discussions. The author would further like to thank Dr. Rasiah Sri Ravindrarajah, my alternate supervisor, for his assistance and advice.

In allowing this research to progress, I would like to thank the UTS Challenge Grants Scheme, Centre for Built Infrastructure Research, Perlco Pty Limited (in particular Mr. Paul Joyce and Mr. John Haggman for their enthusiastic support) and Cement Australia Pty Limited (Mr. Des Chalmers) for providing financial support.

For their practical support and suggestions, I would like to thank Dr. Hamish Connan and Dr. Barry Liu for their assistance.

I wish to acknowledge and thank the support and technical assistance of the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology Civil Laboratories staff especially Mr. Rami Haddad and Mr. Warwick Howse. Similarly, for the Faculty of Science staff, I would like to thank Dr. Norman Booth, Mr. Jean-Pierre Guerbois and Mr. Mark Berkahn for their technical support.

A special thank you is reserved for Ms. Marika Müller. Her support and constant encouragement has made this study a memorable experience. Above all, I must thank my family and friends who have helped and supported me throughout this study.

## Abstract

Environmental implications associated with the manufacture and consumption of Portland cement (PC) presents a major challenge to the construction industry. For every tonne of PC manufactured, an equivalent amount of carbon dioxide is generated as greenhouse gas emissions. The use of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs), also known as pozzolans, for the partial replacement of PC aids the reduction in consumption of PC. SCMs, as environmentally friendly 'green solutions', also provide performance-driven engineering properties of long-term strength development and enhanced durability.

Siliceous and aluminous industrial by-products, such as fly ash (FA), silica fume (SF) and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) are used as SCMs to partially substitute PC in order to reduce the consumption of PC in cement-based construction materials. In this study, pitchstone fines (PF) which are the by-product of the production of expanded perlite from a naturally occurring pitchstone deposit in the state of Queensland in Australia are investigated. PF are produced in the crushing stage of the operation where particulates of less than 0.5 mm, which constitute as much as 30% of the pitchstone rock, are discarded causing a potential waste problem.

PF being an amorphous aluminosilicate material was investigated as a potential SCM. Initial investigations on an as received grade of PF, passing 150  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh, produced favourable results for small substitutions of PC (10%); however, a significant water demand was noted in the production of mortars, otherwise the poor workability reduced homogeneous compaction in the moulds and resulted in inconsistent samples with low compressive strength. Two factors, reduced particle size and improved flow, were identified as critical for the improvement of mortar properties. In order to demonstrate this, a fine grade PF with an average particle size 10  $\mu\text{m}$  was prepared. The water demand for the finer grade PF was significant and superplasticiser was added to improve flow. After accelerated ageing at elevated temperature the strength of mortars containing increasing PF additions up to 40% demonstrated increased strength.



In order to investigate the further potential of PF, PF mortars were compared to FA mortars (FA being an industry accepted SCM). The PF was graded to a similar particle size distribution to the FA, and was found to produce similar strength. Based on the ASTM standard criteria for classification as a pozzolan, strength activity index (SAI), a relative measure requiring the strength to be within 75% of the control 100% PC mortar, both PF and FA were found to fulfil the criteria at 20% additions at 7 and 28 days ageing. Mortars with 40% additions approached the SAI criteria only at significantly longer periods of ageing (91 days). A further 10  $\mu\text{m}$  grade PF was prepared by bead milling to investigate the standard water curing of PF mortars and compared to a similarly graded FA. Both mortar types produced with 20% and 40% addition levels significantly surpassed the strength of the control PC mortars.

The susceptibility of PF and FA mortars to sulphate attack was investigated by immersing mortar cubes in deionised water and 1 M sodium sulphate. The degree of sulphate attack was monitored by mass gain and compressive strength measurement after 182 days of immersion. The 100% PC control mortar showed significant susceptibility with a large increase in mass gain and a reduction in strength. Both the PF and FA substituted mortars were found to be significantly more resistant to the sulphate solution with lower mass gains and significant improvements in strength relative to the control mortar in deionised water. In the PF and FA mortar samples, ettringite was identified by XRD analysis suggesting that its formation mitigated the effects of sulphate attack.

The investigation of PF as a pozzolan particularly in comparison to FA demonstrated that PF in mortar and concrete exhibited the positive attributes of strength and durability required. This experimental investigation proved that PF may be used as a SCM for the partial replacement of PC. From an engineering perspective, PF, which are hitherto unknown as a SCM for PC-based construction materials, are a viable option for adoption in the manufacture of PC-based construction materials, eventuating in value added benefits of strength improvement and increased resistance to chemical attack. Since the PF studied are currently a by-product of mining, the use of this naturally occurring SCM also helps mitigate the environmental impact at the mine site.

# Table of Contents

<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Preface .....	1
1.2 Portland Cement (PC) .....	2
1.3 Concrete.....	4
1.4 PC Hydration.....	5
1.5 Hydration Reactions and C-S-H Formation .....	9
1.6 Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs).....	9
1.7 Natural Pozzolans.....	13
1.8 Fly Ash (FA).....	16
1.9 Pitchstone.....	18
1.10 Research Objectives.....	20
1.11 Significance.....	21
1.12 Structure of the Thesis .....	22
<b>2 Pozzolanic Activity of Pitchstone and Related SCMs.....</b>	<b>24</b>
2.1 Preface .....	24
2.2 Perlite Aggregate Investigations.....	25
2.2.1 Expanded Perlite Aggregate (EPA) .....	25
2.2.2 Fine Expanded Perlite Aggregate (FEPA) .....	28
2.2.3 Pozzolanic Perlite.....	31

2.3	<i>Pozzolanic Activity</i> .....	38
2.3.1	Pozzolan Classification .....	38
2.3.2	Concrete Use .....	38
2.3.3	Strength Activity Index .....	39
2.3.4	ASTM C 618-08a Specification Compliance .....	39
2.4	<i>Sulphate Attack</i> .....	41
2.4.1	Gypsum Formation .....	42
2.4.2	Sodium Sulphate (Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ) Exposure .....	42
2.4.3	Ettringite Formation .....	43
2.4.4	Factors Affecting Rate of Sulphate Attack .....	44
2.4.5	Mechanical Properties .....	47
2.4.6	Resistance .....	48
<b>3</b>	<b>Experimental Procedures</b> .....	<b>49</b>
3.1	<i>Preface</i> .....	49
3.2	<i>Raw Materials</i> .....	50
3.2.1	Shrinkage Limited Portland Cement .....	50
3.2.2	Pitchstone Fines .....	52
3.2.3	Fly Ash .....	56
3.2.4	HI-POZZ™ Fly Ash .....	56
3.2.5	Densified Silica Fume .....	56
3.2.6	Single Washed Sand .....	58
3.2.7	Pitchstone Fine Aggregate .....	58
3.2.8	Nepean River Sand .....	59
3.2.9	Kurnell Sand .....	59
3.2.10	Coarse Aggregate .....	61
3.2.11	Water .....	62
3.2.12	Glenium 51 (G 51) Superplasticiser .....	62
3.3	<i>Sample Preparation Methods</i> .....	63
3.3.1	Mortar Mix Proportions .....	63
3.3.2	Mortar Mixing Procedures .....	64
3.3.3	Mortar 50-mm Cubes and Shrinkage Bars .....	64
3.3.4	Concrete Mix Proportions and Preparation .....	65

3.3.5	Concrete 100-mm Diameter Test Cylinders .....	66
3.4	<i>Methods of Characterisation</i> .....	67
3.4.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) .....	67
3.4.2	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) .....	67
3.4.3	Thermal Analysis .....	68
3.4.4	Flow and Slump .....	73
3.4.5	Wet Density (Mass per Unit Volume) .....	74
3.4.6	Compressive Strength .....	75
3.4.7	Length Change and Drying Shrinkage.....	77
3.5	<i>Evaluation Methods</i> .....	79
3.5.1	Pitchstone Fines Assessment .....	79
3.5.2	Fly Ash Comparison .....	83
3.5.3	Sulphate Exposure .....	87
<b>4</b>	<b>Pitchstone Fines Assessment .....</b>	<b>90</b>
4.1	<i>Preface</i> .....	90
4.2	<i>Strength Evaluation</i> .....	91
4.2.1	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF1 .....	91
4.2.2	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF1 Using G 51 .....	96
4.2.3	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF2 Using G 51 .....	113
4.2.4	Fine Aggregate Replacement in Mortar by PFA Using G 51 .....	116
<b>5</b>	<b>Fly Ash Comparison .....</b>	<b>120</b>
5.1	<i>Preface</i> .....	120
5.2	<i>Strength Evaluation</i> .....	121
5.2.1	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF3 and FA Using G 51 .....	121
5.2.2	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF3-SF and FA-SF Using G 51 .....	130
5.2.3	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF4 and FA Using G 51 .....	135
5.2.4	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF5 and HPFA Using G 51 .....	141
5.2.5	PC Replacement in Concrete by PF3 and FA .....	148
5.3	<i>Drying Shrinkage</i> .....	155
5.3.1	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF3 and FA Using G 51 .....	155

5.3.2	PC Replacement in Mortar by PF3-SF and FA-SF Using G 51 .....	158
<b>6</b>	<b>Sulphate Exposure .....</b>	<b>160</b>
6.1	<i>Preface</i> .....	160
6.2	<i>PC replacement in mortar by PF4, PF5 and FA using G 51</i> .....	161
6.2.1	pH Measurements .....	161
6.2.2	Mass Change .....	165
6.2.3	Strength Evaluation.....	169
6.2.4	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) .....	176
6.2.5	Thermal Analysis .....	180
6.2.6	Strength Comparison .....	182
<b>7</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions.....</b>	<b>186</b>
	<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>194</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Experimental Results.....</b>	<b>i</b>
A1	<i>DTA-TG-DTG Thermograms.....</i>	<i>i</i>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>List of Publications .....</b>	<b>xx</b>



## List of Abbreviations

10CA	10 mm Coarse Aggregate
20CA	20 mm Coarse Aggregate
AAC	Autoclaved Aerated Concrete
AS	Australian Standards
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
C <sub>c</sub>	Calcite
CS	Coarse Sand
C-A-S-H	Calcium Aluminate Silicate Hydrate
C <sub>2</sub> S	Dicalcium Silicate
C <sub>3</sub> A	Tricalcium Aluminate
C <sub>3</sub> S	Tricalcium Silicate
C <sub>4</sub> AF	Tetracalcium Aluminoferrite
CH	Calcium Hydroxide (Portlandite)
C-S-H	Calcium Silicate Hydrate
DTA	Differential Thermal Analysis
DTG	Differential Thermogravimetry
DTGA	Differential Thermogravimetric Analysis
DW	Deionised Water
E	Ettringite
EPA	Expanded Perlite Aggregate
EPP	Expanded Perlite Powder
FA	Fly Ash
FEPA	Fine Expanded Perlite Aggregate
FESEM	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope
FS	Fine Sand
GGBFS	Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag
HPFA	HI-POZZ Fly Ash
KFS	Kurnell Fine Sand
ICCD	International Centre for Diffraction Data
ITZ	Interfacial Transition Zone



JCPDS	Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards
L	Larnite
L <sub>dx</sub>	Dehydroxylation Mass Loss
L <sub>dc</sub>	Decarbonation Mass Loss
LOI	Loss on Ignition
LWAC	Light-Weight Aggregate Concrete
NPP	Natural Perlite Powder
P	Portlandite
P1	İzmir Perlite Powder
P2	Erzincan Perlite Powder
PA	Pumice Aggregate
PC	Portland Cement
PF	Pitchstone Fines
PFA	Pitchstone Fine Aggregate
PP	Perlite Powder
PSA	Particle Size Analyses
Q	Quartz
RH	Relative Humidity
SCC	Self Compacting Concrete
SE	Secondary Electrons
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SF	Silica Fume
SS	Sodium Sulphate
SSD	Saturated Surface Dry
TG	Thermogravimetry
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
W	Water
w/b	Water/Binder
w/c	Water/Cement
w/cm	Water/Cementitious Material
WR	Water Requirement
wt. %	Weight Percent
XRD	X-ray Diffraction
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Compressive strength development in pastes of pure PC compounds (ACI-Committee-E-701 2001) .....	6
Figure 1.2 Rates of strength development in concretes made with the different PC types listed in Table 1.2 .....	7
Figure 1.3 Worldwide carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) emissions from PC production in the year 1994 (Worrell <i>et al.</i> 2001) .....	10
Figure 1.4 Greenhouse gas emissions from PC production in the year 2000 (Gt = gigatonnes) (Rehan <i>et al.</i> 2005).....	11
Figure 1.5 Location of Nychum pitchstone deposits in far north Queensland, Australia .....	18
Figure 1.6 Photographs showing (a) unprocessed pitchstone and (b) processed perlite from pitchstone .....	19
Figure 3.1 SEM micrograph of PC (x 800).....	51
Figure 3.2 Particle size distributions of sieved and milled PF samples .....	52
Figure 3.3 SEM micrograph of PF1 (x 800) .....	53
Figure 3.4 SEM micrograph of PF2 (x 800) .....	53
Figure 3.5 SEM micrograph of PF3 (x 800) .....	54
Figure 3.6 SEM micrograph of PF4 (x 800) .....	54
Figure 3.7 SEM micrograph of PF5 (x 800) .....	55
Figure 3.8 SEM micrograph of FA (x 800) .....	55
Figure 3.9 SEM micrograph of HPFA (x 800) .....	57
Figure 3.10 SEM micrograph of SF (x 800) .....	57

Figure 3.11 Typical DTA-TG-DTG thermogram curves showing thermal decomposition pattern of aged mortar .....	70
Figure 3.12 Typical DTA-TG-DTG thermogram curves showing thermal decomposition pattern of detritus collected from aged mortar .....	72
Figure 4.1 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after accelerated water ageing.....	96
Figure 4.2 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	99
Figure 4.3 Strength relative to 1 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	100
Figure 4.4 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	101
Figure 4.5 SEM micrograph of control mortar after 28 days ageing (x 2.01 K) .....	103
Figure 4.6 SEM micrograph of control mortar after 28 days ageing (x 10 K) .....	103
Figure 4.7 SEM micrograph of control mortar after 28 days ageing (x 20 K) .....	104
Figure 4.8 SEM micrograph of 20% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 2.01 K)....	105
Figure 4.9 SEM micrograph of 20% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 10 K).....	105
Figure 4.10 SEM micrograph of 20% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 20 K).....	106
Figure 4.11 SEM micrograph of 40% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 2.01 K)..	107
Figure 4.12 SEM micrograph of 40% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 10 K).....	108
Figure 4.13 SEM micrograph of 40% PF1 mortar after 28 days ageing (x 20 K).....	108
Figure 4.14 XRD patterns of control mortar after 1, 7 and 28 days (d= 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite)....	110

Figure 4.15 XRD patterns of 10% PF1 mortar after 1, 7 and 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite)....	110
Figure 4.16 XRD patterns of 20% PF1 mortar after 1, 7 and 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite)....	111
Figure 4.17 XRD patterns of 40% PF1 mortar after 1, 7 and 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite)....	111
Figure 4.18 XRD patterns of all mortars after 1 day (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite).....	112
Figure 4.19 XRD patterns of all mortars after 7 days (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite) .....	112
Figure 4.20 XRD patterns of all mortars after 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing (E = ettringite, P = portlandite, Q = quartz, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite, L = larnite) .....	113
Figure 4.21 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	119
Figure 5.1 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	123
Figure 5.2 Strength relative to 3 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	125
Figure 5.3 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	126
Figure 5.4 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	132
Figure 5.5 Strength relative to 3 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	133
Figure 5.6 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	134

Figure 5.7 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	138
Figure 5.8 Strength relative to 7 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	139
Figure 5.9 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	140
Figure 5.10 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	144
Figure 5.11 Strength relative to 7 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water .....	146
Figure 5.12 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each mortar type after standard curing regime in water.....	147
Figure 5.13 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each concrete type after standard curing regime in water.....	150
Figure 5.14 Strength relative to 7 day strength (%) versus time intervals (d = 24 h) for each concrete type after standard curing regime in water.....	151
Figure 5.15 Strength activity index (SAI) versus ageing time (d = 24 h) for each concrete type after standard curing regime in water.....	153
Figure 5.16 Drying shrinkage increase ( $S_i$ ) versus air storage age (d = 24 h) for length change of PF3 and FA mortars relative to control mortar .....	157
Figure 5.17 Drying shrinkage increase ( $S_i$ ) versus air storage age (d = 24 h) for length change of PF3-SF and FA-SF mortars relative to control mortar.....	159
Figure 6.1 pH of deionised water for each mortar type versus ageing period (d = 24 h) .....	162
Figure 6.2 pH of 1 M sodium sulphate for each mortar type versus ageing period (d = 24 h) .....	164



Figure 6.3 Mass change ( $\Delta m$ ) relative to 0 day mass versus ageing period (d = 24 h) of each mortar type in deionised water .....	166
Figure 6.4 Mass change ( $\Delta m$ ) versus ageing period (d = 24 h) of each mortar type in 1 M sodium sulphate .....	168
Figure 6.5 Strength activity index (SAI) of each mortar type after 182 days (d= 24 h) in deionised water .....	171
Figure 6.6 Strength activity index (SAI) of each mortar type after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M sodium sulphate.....	175
Figure 6.7 XRD patterns of control, 20% and 40% PF4 mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (E = ettringite, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite).....	177
Figure 6.8 XRD patterns of control, 20% and 40% PF5 mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (E = ettringite, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite).....	178
Figure 6.9 XRD patterns of control, 20% and 40% FA mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (E = ettringite, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite).....	178
Figure 6.10 XRD patterns of control and 20% PF4, PF5 and FA mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (E = ettringite, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite).....	179
Figure 6.11 XRD patterns of control and 40% PF4, PF5 and FA mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in 1 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (E = ettringite, C <sub>c</sub> = calcite).....	179
Figure 6.12 Compressive strength for PF4, PF5 and FA additions in mortars after 182 days (d= 24 h) in control (DW) and sulphate (SS) solution .....	182



## List of Tables

Table 1.1 Main chemical compounds formed in the PC kiln (ACI-Committee-E-701 2001) .....	2
Table 1.2 Type and typical compound composition of PC (ACI-Committee-E-701 2001) .....	3
Table 1.3 Characteristics of hydration of PC compounds (ACI-Committee-E-701 2001) .....	8
Table 3.1 Chemical composition of starting materials with oxides and loss on ignition (LOI) are in mass percent .....	50
Table 3.2 Fraction of particle size less than the value listed in $\mu\text{m}$ .....	51
Table 3.3 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of Raymond Terrace single washed sand .....	58
Table 3.4 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of pitchstone fine aggregate .....	59
Table 3.5 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of Nepean double washed river sand .....	60
Table 3.6 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of Kurnell single washed sand .....	60
Table 3.7 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of 10 mm coarse aggregate .....	61
Table 3.8 Particle size distribution (sieving method) of 20 mm coarse aggregate .....	61
Table 3.9 Mortar mix designs incorporating PF1 additions .....	80
Table 3.10 Mortar mix designs incorporating PF1 addition levels with G 51 .....	80
Table 3.11 Mortar mix designs using PF2 additions with G 51 .....	82
Table 3.12 Mortar mix designs using PFA additions with G 51 .....	82
Table 3.13 Mortar mix designs using PF3 and FA additions with G 51 .....	83

Table 3.14 Mortar mix designs using PF3-SF and FA-SF additions with G 51 .....	85
Table 3.15 Mortar mix designs using PF4 and FA additions with G 51 .....	85
Table 3.16 Mortar mix designs using PF5 and HPFA additions with G 51 .....	86
Table 3.17 Concrete mix designs using PF3 and FA additions .....	87
Table 3.18 Mortar mix designs using PF4, PF5 and FA additions with G 51 .....	89
Table 4.1 Fresh mortar properties of flow and wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) .....	92
Table 4.2 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) after accelerated water ageing .....	94
Table 4.3 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar .....	97
Table 4.4 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) for 1, 7 and 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	98
Table 4.5 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength relative to 1 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm1d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	99
Table 4.6 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar .....	114
Table 4.7 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) for accelerated water ageing .....	115
Table 4.8 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar .....	116
Table 4.9 : Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) for 14 and 28 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	117
Table 4.10 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) after accelerated water ageing .....	118

Table 5.1 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar.....	121
Table 5.2 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) for 3, 7, 14, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	123
Table 5.3 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength relative to 3 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm3d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	124
Table 5.4 Hardened mortar properties of strength activity index (SAI) for 3, 7, 14, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	126
Table 5.5 TG data of Ldx, measured CH (CHM) mass, expected CH (CHE) mass and percent mass change ( $\Delta CH$ ) of CHM to CHE of mortar sampled at core (c) after 28 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	128
Table 5.6 TG data of Ldx, measured CH (CH <sub>M</sub> ) mass, expected CH (CH <sub>E</sub> ) mass and percent mass change ( $\Delta CH$ ) of CH <sub>M</sub> to CH <sub>E</sub> of mortar sampled at surface (s) after 28 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing.....	129
Table 5.7 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar.....	130
Table 5.8 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) for 3, 7, 14, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	132
Table 5.9 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength relative to 3 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm3d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	133
Table 5.10 Hardened mortar properties of strength activity index (SAI) for 3, 7, 14, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	134
Table 5.11 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar.....	136
Table 5.12 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing.....	137

Table 5.13 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength relative to 7 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm7d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	138
Table 5.14 Hardened mortar properties of strength activity index (SAI) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	140
Table 5.15 Fresh mortar properties of flow, wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) and G 51 volume over total mass (v/w) of mortar .....	142
Table 5.16 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	144
Table 5.17 Hardened mortar properties of compressive strength relative to 7 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm7d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	145
Table 5.18 Hardened mortar properties of strength activity index (SAI) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	146
Table 5.19 Fresh concrete properties of slump and wet density ( $\rho_{wet}$ ) .....	148
Table 5.20 Hardened concrete properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	149
Table 5.21 Hardened concrete properties of compressive strength relative to 7 day strength ( $f_{cm}/f_{cm7d}$ ) for increasing time intervals (d = 24 h) of water ageing .....	151
Table 5.22 Hardened concrete properties of strength activity index (SAI) for 7, 28, 56 and 91 days (d = 24 h) water ageing .....	152
Table 5.23 Hardened concrete properties of compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) for 28 and 91 days (d = 24 h) air storage .....	154
Table 5.24 Hardened mortar properties of length change ( $\Delta L$ ) after 7, 14 and 28 days (d = 24 h) air storage relative to 0 day length and a gauge length of 200 mm ..	155
Table 5.25 Drying shrinkage increase ( $S_i$ ) of PF3 and FA mortars relative to control mortar after 7, 14 and 28 days (d = 24 h) air storage .....	157



Table 5.26 Hardened mortar properties of length change ( $\Delta L$ ) after 7, 14 and 28 days (d = 24 h) air storage relative to 0 day length and a gauge length of 200 mm ..	158
Table 5.27 Drying shrinkage increase ( $S_i$ ) of PF3-SF and FA-SF mortars relative to control mortar after 7, 14 and 28 days (d = 24 h) air storage .....	159
Table 6.1 pH of deionised water for each mortar type after 0, 1, 28, 56, 84, 112, 140 and 182 days (d = 24 h) .....	161
Table 6.2 pH in 1 M sodium sulphate for each mortar type after 0, 1, 28, 56, 84, 112, 140 and 182 days (d = 24 h).....	163
Table 6.3 Mass change ( $\Delta m$ ) of each mortar type relative to 0 day mass after 28, 56, 84, 112, 140 and 182 days (d = 24 h) in deionised water .....	165
Table 6.4 Mass change ( $\Delta m$ ) of each mortar type relative to 0 day mass after 28, 56, 84, 112, 140 and 182 days (d = 24 h) in 1 M sodium sulphate.....	167
Table 6.5 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) of each mortar type after 182 days (d = 24 h) in deionised water.....	169
Table 6.6 Compressive strength ( $f_{cm}$ ) and strength activity index (SAI) of each mortar type after 182 days (d = 24 h) in 1 M sodium sulphate .....	172
Table 6.7 Strength in 1 M sodium sulphate ( $f_{cm-SS}$ ) relative to strength in deionised water ( $f_{cm-DW}$ ) of each mortar type after 182 days (d = 24 h) ageing .....	173
Table 6.8 TG mass loss data representing sulphur trioxide ( $SO_3$ ) and sulphate ( $SO_4^{2-}$ ) in mortar after 182 days (d = 24 h) in 1 M $Na_2SO_4$ .....	180